

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/791,075 | 03/01/2004 | David W. Wieting | 212/560 | 2977 | |
| 7590 CROCKETT & CROCKETT, PC SUITE 400 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | DEAK, LESLIE R | | |
| | EDE LA PLATA LS, CA 92653 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Zirooi ii ii | 220, 01172000 | | 3761 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/02/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/791,075 | WIETING ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| LESLIE R. DEAK | 3761 | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a | address |
|---|---|
| THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31 for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the forperiods: | e, which places the 1; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | ection. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approximate have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The approunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final create forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as |
| | niho of the date of |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mo filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | i because |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying | au tha laawaa fau |
| appeal; and/or | ig the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>Applicant's amendment to claim 1 changes the scope of the claimed invention and requires new consideration</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | v search and |
| 4. A The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment | nt (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amended non-allowable claim(s). | ment canceling the |
| 7. \(\subsection \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subsection \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subsection \) will be entered and a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | n explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.11f(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence flied after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brie entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33/d | fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or atta | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | delied. |
| 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allow See Continuation Sheet. | vance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | |
| 20.4 | |
| 29 April 2008 /Leslie R. Deak/ | |
| Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761 | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are directed to the amended claim, and the amendment has not been entered.